



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,045	02/05/2001		Douglas J. Pogatetz	8773/113	8697
7	590	01/12/2005		EXAMINER	
Baniak Pine & Gannon 150 N. Wacker Drive				WOOD, KIMBERLY T	
Suite 1200	Direc			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 6	60606	•		3632	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/777,045	POGATETZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kimberly T. Wood	3632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 O	<u>ctober 2004</u> .						
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims		·					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-12 and 14-38 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-32 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 34-37 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-9,11,12,33 and 38 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 10 and 14-21 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D						

Art Unit: 3632

This is an office action for serial number 09/777,045.

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 22-27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.14209 as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6. Claims 28-32 were withdrawn in paper no. 4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 5 depend from a canceled claim 3 wherein the limitations of the claims cannot be determined therefore the claims are indefinite.

Art Unit: 3632

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11, 12, 33, and 38, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sena 3,799,488 in view of Hartman 4,470,571. Sena discloses a bracket apparatus comprising a bracket including a wall mounting portion (the back side of 54, 47, 48 and 18) including a generally horizontal cross member (54), at least one planar flange (part of 18 touching the wall) including first and second planar flange members (left and right members of 18 connected to wall touching part of 18) having at least one through opening (see figure 3 where screw 27 goes through hole), personal computing appliance mounting portion (front side of 47, 48, 32, 33), a plurality of mounting members (49, 51, 34 and 35), the appliance mounting portion is angled with respect to the wall mounting portion (see figure 5). Sena discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the mounting

Art Unit: 3632

pads. Hartman teaches that it is known to have a plurality of mounting members with mounting pads (18) having a concave body portion. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified Sena to have included the mounting pads on the mounting members of as taught by Hartman for the purpose of preventing damage to the supported object.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 34-37 are allowed.

Claims 10 and 14-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The prior art discloses conventional bracket apparatuses.

Art Unit: 3632

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly T. Wood whose telephone number is 703-308-0539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on 703-308-2156. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 3632

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rimberly T. Wood Primary Examines Art Unit 3632

December 27, 2004